

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
VALENCIA WATER COMPANY (U-342-W),	)	
a Corporation, for an Order Authorizing It to	)	
Increase Rates Charged for Water Service in	)	Application No. 06-07-002
Order to Realize Increased Annual Revenues of	)	(Filed July 3, 2006)
\$3,470,000 in a Test Year Beginning July 2007	)	
and \$864,000 in a Test Year Beginning July 2008,	)	
and to Make Further Changes and Additions to	)	
Its Tariff for Water Service.	)	

#### NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rules 8.2 and 8.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure ("Rules"), Valencia Water Company (U-342-W) ("Valencia") hereby gives notice of an ex parte communication regarding the above captioned general rate case ("GRC").

On June 12, 2007, beginning at approximately 2:00 p.m., Martin Mattes of Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Elliott, LLP, counsel for Valencia, participated in a telephone conversation with Laura Krannawitter, advisor to Commissioner Bohn. Mr. Mattes participated from his office at 50 California Street, in San Francisco; Ms. Krannawitter participated from her office at the California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, in San Francisco. The conversation lasted approximately 10 minutes.

The subject of the conversation was an issue Valencia addressed at pages 4 to 6 of its comments, filed May 29, 2007, on the Proposed Decision of ALJ Bemesderfer ("PD"). That issue was Valencia's concern that the PD's references to a \$103,000 allowance to cover implementation of "Best Management Practices 1 and 2" of the California Urban Water Conservation Council ("CUWCC") were stated so broadly as to imply that the \$103,000

215483\_1.DOC 1

allowance was intended to cover implementation of all water conservation programs of the

CUWCC. Mr. Mattes explained that the effect of that implication could be to allow only

\$103,000 for such activities, while the apparent intent of the PD was to allow \$197,901 for

implementation of CUWCC programs. Mr. Mattes referred Ms. Krannawitter to the proposed

revisions to the PD's Conclusion of Law 9 and Ordering Paragraph 10 provided in

Attachment B to Valencia's comments on the PD as offering a simple means of clarifying the

Commission's intent.

No documents were provided in connection with this ex parte communication.

To obtain a copy of this notice, please contact:

Ms. Jeannie Wong NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP 50 California Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4799

Tel: (415) 398-3600 Fax: (415) 398-2438

e-mail: jwong@nossaman.com

Respectfully submitted,

NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP

By: <u>/S/ MARTIN A. MATTES</u>
Martin A. Mattes

50 California Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4799

Tel: (415) 398-3600 Fax: (415) 398-2438

Email: mmattes@nossaman.com

Attorneys for VALENCIA WATER COMPANY

Dated: June 14, 2007

215483\_1.DOC 2

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Jeannie Wong, hereby certify that I will on this date serve by electronic mail or by hand delivery, a copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION on the following parties on the service list for A.06-07-002 listed below:

## By electronic mail:

kjb@cpuc.ca.gov; llk@cpuc.ca.gov; flc@cpuc.ca.gov; vcc@cpuc.ca.gov; rdiprimio@valencia.com; gmilleman@valencia.com; bjohnson@valencia.com; mpo@cpuc.ca.gov

## By Hand Delivery:

Hon. Karl Bemesderfer Administrative Law Judge California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5006 San Francisco, CA 94102

Executed this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2007 in San Francisco, California.

/S/ JEANNIE WONG
Jeannie Wong

141550v2